

## RESPONSE OF BLACK GRAM (*PHASEOLUS MUNGO* L.) TO SULPHUR DIOXIDE

Abrar Ahmad Khan<sup>1</sup>, Iram<sup>2</sup> and Mustabeen<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>Department of Botany, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh- 202002, India

### Abstract

A pot experiment was carried out to observe the response of black gram (*Phaseolus mungo* L) to SO<sub>2</sub>. The plants were exposed to different concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> (0.0, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 ppm). The symptoms - chlorosis, necrotic spots, marginal burning caused by SO<sub>2</sub> were appeared on the leaves. Plant growth, yield and photosynthetic pigments were suppressed greatly in all the treatments. The suppressions were directly proportional to the exposure levels of SO<sub>2</sub> on the plants.

*Key words:* Concentration, effect, growth, pigment, response, symptoms, yield.

### Introduction

Today air pollution is one of the most serious problems to all living organisms. Among the air pollutants sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) is the most harmful gas. It causes many visible symptoms in the plant like yellowing, chlorosis and browning of leaf surface (Heck et al., 1986; Kausar, 2007; Mustabeen et al., 2007) and some specific injury to a particular plant (Mustabeen et al., 2008). Gimeno and Deltoro (2000) observed the harmful effect of SO<sub>2</sub> on cell culture and photosynthetic performance in liverwort, *Frullaria dilatata*. SO<sub>2</sub> declined the photosynthetic rate and reduced chlorophyll concentration in most of the plant species (Ali, 1998; Iqbal et al., 2000; Mustabeen et al., 2008). This research aimed to study the effect of SO<sub>2</sub> on plant growth, yield and photosynthetic pigment of urd popularly known as black gram (*Phaseolus mungo* L).

### Material and methods

#### *Generation of SO<sub>2</sub> Gas and Treatments*

Sulphur dioxide gas was generated by SO<sub>2</sub> generator through the reaction of sodium sulphite (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>) and sulphuric acid (10% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) solutions under controlled condition. The amount of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> was taken according to the different concentrations (i.e. 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 ppm) needed. The outlet of SO<sub>2</sub> generator was connected to the fumigation chamber by the PVC pipe. The plants were kept in fumigation chamber for exposure. For the experiment three doses, 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 ppm of SO<sub>2</sub> were prepared for the following treatments:

- T<sub>0</sub> = 5 pots with plant only (control)
- T<sub>1</sub> = 5 pots with plant + 0.05 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> exposure
- T<sub>2</sub> = 5 pots with plant + 0.1 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> exposure
- T<sub>3</sub> = 5 pots with plant + 0.2 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> exposure

#### *Plant Culture and Exposure of Plant*

Seeds of urd variety 'T-9' were surface sterilized (dipped in 0.01% HgCl<sub>2</sub> solution) for 15 minutes followed by three washings with distilled water. Five seeds were sown (15 Jan., 2008) in each autoclaved clay pots. After germination, seedlings were thinned

to maintain single seedling per pot. Each treatment was replicated five times along with a control set. After 10 days plants were exposed to different doses of SO<sub>2</sub> (0.05, 0.1 and 0.2 ppm) separately for 3 hrs twice in a week till 70 days. After each exposure all pots were kept on benches in glass house and arranged in complete randomized block design. The temperature was maintained at 27/23°C (day/ night). The pots were irrigated on alternate day. The experiments were terminated after 80 days (5 April, 2008) and plants were uprooted carefully. Roots were washed thoroughly using tap water to remove soil particles and debris. Plant growth and yield were measured. The photosynthetic pigments (chl a, chl b, total chl a + b and carotenoids) were examined before maturation of crop (Just after exposures finished). Data were analyzed statistically for significance.

### Results and discussion

The specific symptoms - yellowing and marginal burning of leaves of urd caused by SO<sub>2</sub> were observed. Singh and Singh (1990) have also observed the visible injuries in the form of chlorosis and necrosis in *Vigna mungo* when fumigated to SO<sub>2</sub>, which were proportional to SO<sub>2</sub> concentration.

In general, SO<sub>2</sub> caused significant reductions in plant growth ( length, fresh and dry weights of shoot and root, no. of nodules) and yield ( number of pods / plant, number of seeds / pod, fresh and dry weights of pods, and weight of 20 seeds) as compared to control (Tables 1 and 2 ). All concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> were found harmful to this crop. The reductions caused by 0.2 ppm were greater than 0.1 ppm and 0.05 ppm. The reductions in above parameters were on concentration depended. As concentration was increased, the plant growth and yield were decreased (Tables 1 and 2). Several investigators have also observed that SO<sub>2</sub> has an adverse effect on the plants like cucumber, maize, coriander, tobacco etc. (Dodd and Dolley, 1998; Mejstic, 1980; Mishra, 1980 ; Mustabeen et al., 2008). Sprugel et al. (1980) found significant reduction in yield of soybean due to loss in both seed weight and number of seeds produced by plants when exposed to SO<sub>2</sub>. Kuasar et al. (2006) exposed the five wheat varieties to 0.2 ppm of SO<sub>2</sub>. The plant growth and yield parameters were suppressed greatly with responses of different concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub>. Reduction in growth and yield has also been observed on sunflower by SO<sub>2</sub> (Mustabeen, et al., 2007).

Number of leaves and photosynthetic pigments (chl a, chl b, total chl a+b) and carotenoids were reduced significantly by SO<sub>2</sub> as compared to control in the present study (Table 3). Actually SO<sub>2</sub> penetrates the more delicate inner structure of the leaves and effects photosynthesis of the plant (Carlson, 1983; Heck et al.,1986). SO<sub>2</sub> also induces premature senescence of flowers and fruits and suppression of fruit setting (Linzon, 1978; Thompson et al., 1984; Khan and Khan, 1993).

### Bibliography

1. Ali ST 1998 Sulphur dioxide induced changes in the growth pattern of *Psoralea corylifolia* L. at different stages of development. Ph. D. Thesis, Hamdard University, New Delhi, India.
2. Carlson TW 1983 Interaction between SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> and their effects on photosynthetic properties of soyabean, *Glycine max*. Environ Pollut 32 : 11 - 38.
3. Dodd IC, D Dolley 1998 Growth responses of cucumber seedlings to sulphur dioxide fumigation in tropical environment. Environ. Exp. Bot. 30: 41 - 47.
4. Gimeno C, Deltoro VI 2000 Sulphur dioxide effect on cell structure and

- photosynthetic performance in the liverwort, *Frullania dilatata*. Can. J. Bot . 78 : 98 - 104.
5. Heck WW, AS Heagle, DS Shriner 1986 *Hordeum vulgare* exposed to long term fumigation with low concentration of SO<sub>2</sub>. Plant. Physiol. 76 : 445 - 450.
  6. Iqbal M, ST Ali, Mahmooduzzafar 2000 Photosynthetic performance of certain dicotyledonous tropical plants under degraded environment. In : S. Farooq and M. A. Khan (eds.) Environment, Biodiversity and Conservation, Kashmir University, Srinagar.
  7. Kausar S 2007 Studies on interaction of air pollutants and seed gall nematode, *Anguina tritici* on wheat. Ph. D. Thesis, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh.
  8. Kausar S, AA Khan, D Raghav 2006 Interaction of sulphur dioxide and seed gall nematode, *Anguina tritici* on wheat. J of Food, Agric and Environ. 3 & 4 : 130 - 132.
  9. Khan MR, MW Khan 1993 Impact of air pollutants emanating from a thermal power plant on tomato. J. Indian Bot. Soc. 70:239-244.
  10. Linzon SN 1978 Effect of air born sulphur pollutants on plants. In : J. O. Nriagu (ed.) Sulphur in Environment, Part II Wiley, New York, pp. 109 - 162.
  11. Mejistrick V 1980 The influence of low SO<sub>2</sub> concentration on growth reduction of *Nicotiana tobacum* L. CV. Samsum and *Cucumis sativus* L. CV. Unikat. Environ. Pollut. (A). 21 : 73.
  12. Mishra, L. C. 1980. Effects of SO<sub>2</sub> fumigation on groundnut. *Environ. Exp. Bot.* 20: 397 - 400.
  13. Mustabeen, AA Khan, S Kausar, M Saquib 2007. Study of air pollutants stress on sunflower. In: Inter. Conf. on the Status of Bio. Sci. in Caribbean and Latin Ameri Societies. Sept. 24 -25, 2007, Greater Georgetown, Guyana.p.7.
  14. Mustabeen, I Kazim, AA Khan 2008. Assessment of sensitivity of coriander to sulphur dioxide and acid rain. In: All India Seminar in Environ. Sci. & Tech. Feb. 15 -16, 2008. A.M.U., Aligarh.pp 284-286.
  15. Singh L, B Singh 1990 Phytotoxic effect of SO<sub>2</sub> pollution on leaf growth of *Vigna mungo* L. J. Environ. Biol. Pollut. 11: 111-120.
  16. Sprugel DG, JE Miller, MH Smith, PB Xerikas 1980 SO<sub>2</sub> effects on yields and seed quality in field grown soybean. *Phytopathol.* 70 : 1129 - 1133.
  17. Thompson CA, DM Olszyk, G Kats, A Bytnerowtez, PJ Dawson, JW Wolf 1984 Response of plant species to sulphur dioxide. J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc. 34 : 10-17.

**Table 1: Effect of different concentrations of sulphur dioxide on plant growth of *Phaseolus mungo* var. 'T-9'**

Treatment (ppm)	PLANT GROWTH						No of nodules
	Length (cm)		Fresh wt. (g)		Dry wt. (g)		
	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	Shoot	Root	
Control	23.5	18.1	6.10	1.89	1.13	0.73	27
0.05	21.8	16.4	5.70	1.14	1.04	0.56	17
0.1	19.6	13.7	4.12	0.89	0.85	0.35	12
0.2	17.2	10.8	2.00	0.46	0.59	0.15	8
P = 0.05	0.96	1.23	1.11	0.22	0.13	0.18	2.4
P = 0.01	1.35	1.72	1.42	0.31	0.18	0.25	3.5

Each value is a mean of five replicates.

**Table 2: Effect of different concentrations of sulphur dioxide on yield of *Phaseolus mungo* var. 'T-9'**

Treatment (ppm)	YIELD				
	No of pods	Fresh wt. of pods (g)	Dry wt. of pods (g)	No. of seeds / pod	Wt. of 20 seeds (g)
Control	11	3.64	0.99	9	0.86
0.05	9	2.41	0.71	6	0.69
0.1	6	1.65	0.34	5	0.52
0.2	4	0.90	0.18	2	0.31
P = 0.05	1.21	0.49	0.08	1.36	0.07
P = 0.01	1.69	0.63	0.12	1.92	0.10

Each value is a mean of five replicates.

**Table 3:** Effect of different concentrations of sulphur dioxide on photosynthetic pigments of *Phaseolus mungo* var. 'T-9'

Treatment (ppm)	No of leaves	Photosynthetic Pigment (mg / g Fresh wt)			
		Chl a	Chl b	Total chl (a+b)	Carotenoids
Control	53	0.6603	0.9254	1.5853	0.0525
0.05	42	0.4836	0.8165	1.3002	0.0415
0.1	37	0.3814	0.7193	1.1004	0.0401
0.2	30	0.2739	0.6179	0.8918	0.0353
P = 0.05	3.11	0.0436	0.0499	0.0928	0.0008
P = 0.01	4.36	0.0612	0.0701	0.1302	0.0012

Each value is a mean of five replicates.